

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,877	12/16/2003	Keita Honda	4041K-000162	5746
27572	7590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			FORD, JOHN K	
P.O. BOX 8 BLOOMFIE	28 ELD HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3753	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
<b>*</b> .	10/736,877	HÒNDA, KEITA	$\mathcal{O}_{oldsymbol{l}_{oldsymbol{l}}}$				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John K. Ford	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	D-152)				

Art Unit: 3753

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: first species of Figure 1 as described on page 6, line 24-page 11, line 15, second species of Figures 2 and 4A as described on page 11, line 16 – page 13, line 17, third species of Figures 5 and 4B as described on page 13, line 18 – page 15, line 7 fourth species of Figures 6 and 4A as described on page 15, line 8 – page 16, line 20 and an indeterminant number of additional unillustrated variants of the above four species described on page 16, line 21 – page 17, line 18.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 3753

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

In the event <u>one</u> of the indeterminant number of additional species described on page 16, line 21 – page 17, line 18, is elected a proposed drawing correction illustrating it is required in response to this action. Such a drawing must be of a level of detail so as to permit a meaningful understanding of the invention by inspection of the drawing.

Finally, it is unclear to the examiner, in claims 1 and 7, whether or not just an outdoor heat exchanger and two radiators are being claimed in the claims' broadest interpretation or whether or not the "running engine," "running motor" and "hybrid automobile" are positively recited and necessary parts of the claims. The phrase "for air cooling"... appears after each of these heat exchanger recitations. Matters of intended use are not given patentable weight absent their positive inclusion in a claim, MPEP 2114. If the "running engine" "running motor" and "hybrid automobile" are intended to be limitations in the claims, then positively recite them in the preamble and after the word "comprising" as separate elements of claims 1 and 7. Applicant's response must address this scope of claim interpretation discussion so that the examiner can properly search this application once it comes back with an election. If combination claim are

Application/Control Number: 10/736,877 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

intended, an appropriate amendment consistent with the above instructions should be presented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Ford at telephone number (571) 272-4911.

John K. Ford
Primery Exeminer